

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 09/676,722 | 09/28/2000 | Donald L. Wilson | 12548US02 | 2793 |
| 7590 02/11/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd | | | GRIER, LAURA A | |
| 500 West Madison Street | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 34th Floor Chicago, IL 60 | 0661 | | | |
| Chicago, IL 60661 | | | 2644 DATE MAILED: 02/11/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. | Applicant(s) | 09/676,722 | WILSON ET AL. | | Examiner | Laura A Grier | 2644 | | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Laura A Grier. | (3) Danyan Beremski | (4) Sinh Tran.

2) applicant's representative

e)∏ No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Date of Interview: 08 February 2005.

If Yes, brief description: .

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 22, and 35.

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes

reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hosaka et al.

c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant

Examiner's signature if required

Application No. 09/676,722

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 and 41 (similar claim language/same invention)- discussion was in reference to the limitation of the obtuse angle and the ear canal entirely supporting the earpiece. Applicant agreed with suggested claim language for claims 1 and 41 to overcome the Hosaka reference and also agreed to submit a figure to comply with the ear canal entirely supporting the earpiece; claim 22-the discussion was based on the aspects of the flexible channel; claim 35-the discussion was based on the TDH-39 frequency response standard. The suggested changes and arguments provided are anticipated in the Response to current Non-final Office Action.